

*Committee of Adjustment / Land Division Committee*  
**DECISION OF COMMITTEE WITH REASONS**

[The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349, section 42 (9) and (11)]

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR

(a) Indicate which decision is applicable by checking the appropriate box.

- CONSENT — In making its decision upon this application for consent the Committee in addition to other matters has had regard to the matters that are to be had regard to under subsection 4 of section 33 of *The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349* and considered whether a plan of subdivision under section 33 of the land described in the application is necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality.
- MINOR VARIANCE — In making its decision upon this application for a variance the Committee in addition to other matters has considered whether or not the variance was minor and desirable for the appropriate development for use of the land and that the general intent and purpose of the zoning by-law and the official plan be maintained.
- NON-CONFORMING USE — In making its decision the Committee in addition to other matters has had regard to whether or not this application met the requirements of section 42 (2) of *The Planning Act*.

(b) Description of property in respect of (b) 5 Centennial Road ~~Street~~ Orangeville  
Part  
which is located on Lot No. 2 Concession No. D or Reg'd Plan No. R.P. 7R-393  
(c) City, town, village, township. in the (c) Town of Orangeville

(d) Delete where not applicable. We the undersigned concur in the following decision and reasons for decision of the (d) Committee of Adjustment/~~Land Division Committee~~ for the (e) Town of Orangeville  
(e) Name of municipality.  
(f) Date of decision made on the (f) 13th of November 1980.

DECISION: To grant to Minor Variance on part of Lot 2, Concession D. R.P. 7R-393, Town of Orangeville.

GRANTED.

This decision has been made subject to the following conditions.

(g) State conditions to be satisfied before actual granting of consent.

CONDITIONS (g):

(h) State reasons for decision.

REASONS FOR DECISION (h):  
The Committee considered the set-back deficiency to be very minor.

A. Woodland Signature of Member of committee.      [Signature] Signature of member of committee.      [Signature] Signature of member of committee.  
.....  
Signature of member of committee.      Signature of member of committee.      Signature of member of committee.

Last date of appeal - Dec. 9th, 1980. CERTIFICATION

[The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349, section 42 (11)]

I ANN E. ARMSTRONG  
Secretary-Treasurer of the (i) Committee of Adjustment/Land Division Committee for the  
TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE in the County of DUFFERIN  
Name of Municipality

(i) Delete where not applicable.

HEREBY certify that the above is a true copy of the decision of the Committee with respect to the application recorded therein.

Dated this 18TH day of NOVEMBER 1980.  
Ann E. Armstrong  
Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment  
for the Municipality of the  
Corporation of the Town of Orangeville.

THE  
COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT  
OF THE  
TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE

and


IN THE MATTER of an application by Temprite Industries Limited,  
5 Centennial Road, Orangeville, Ontario, for a Minor Variance  
to By-law 60-77, Schedule B, Section 11 (b) of the Town of Orangeville,  
for Part Lot 2, Concession D, R.P. 7R 393, Part 47, of the Town of  
Orangeville under the provisions of Section 42 of the Planning Act,  
R.S.O. and amendments thereto.

---

APPOINTMENT FOR HEARING

---

THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT of the Town of Orangeville hereby appoints  
the 13th day of November , 1980, at the hour of 7.30 o'clock in the  
afternoon (local time) at the Municipal Office, Council Chambers, 87  
Broadway, Orangeville, Ontario, for the hearing of all parties interested  
in supporting or opposing this application.

  
Ann E. Armstrong,  
Secretary-Treasurer,  
Committee of Adjustment.

EXPLANATORY NOTE:

The applicant requires the Minor Variance for a proposed office extension  
which would extend 9.14m from the existing building line on the S.E.  
corner of the building. This would extend beyond the setback by 1.04m.

DATED AT ORANGEVILLE this 30th, day of October, 1980.