

Committee of Adjustment / Land Division Committee
DECISION OF COMMITTEE WITH REASONS

[The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349, section 42 (9) and (11)]

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR

(a) Indicate which decision is applicable by checking the appropriate box.

- Consent - In making its decision upon this application for consent the Committee in addition to other matters has had regard to the matters that are to be had regard to under subsection 4 of section 33 of The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349 and considered whether a plan of subdivision under section 33 of the land described in the application is necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality.
Minor Variance - In making its decision upon this application for a variance the Committee in addition to other matters has considered whether or not the variance was minor and desirable for the appropriate development for use of the land and that the general intent and purpose of the zoning by-law and the official plan be maintained.
Non-Conforming Use - In making its decision the Committee in addition to other matters has had regard to whether or not this application met the requirements of section 42 (2) of The Planning Act.

(b) Description in respect of (b) of property

46 Westdale Ave. xxxxx Orangeville

(c) City, town, village, township.

which is located on Lot No. 23 or Reg'd Plan No. 97

Town of Orangeville

(d) Delete where not applicable.

We the undersigned concur in the following decision and reasons for decision of the (d) Committee of

(e) Name of municipality.

Adjustment/Land Division Committee for the (e) Town of Orangeville

(f) Date of decision

made on the (f) 11th of October, 1979.

DECISION: Consent for a Minor Variance re the construction of a garage on the property outlined above, DENIED.

This decision has been made subject to the following conditions.

(g) State conditions to be satisfied before actual granting of consent.

CONDITIONS (g):

(h) State reasons for decision.

REASONS FOR DECISION (h):

The Committee felt that this request to build to within 0.3048 metres of the side lot line was not a MINOR variance to the By-law. The difference between what was required and what the applicant would be left with was just too great.

Signature of Member of committee.

Signature of member of committee.

Signature of member of committee.

Signature of member of committee. (Handwritten signature)

Signature of member of committee. (Handwritten signature)

Signature of member of committee.

CERTIFICATION

[The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349, section 42 (11)]

I ANN E. ARMSTRONG

(i) Delete where not applicable.

Secretary-Treasurer of the (i) Committee of Adjustment/Land Division Committee for the

TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE in the County of DUFFERIN

HEREBY certify that the above is a true copy of the decision of the Committee with respect to the application recorded therein.

Dated this 16th day of October 19 79

Last date of appeal - November 6, 1979.

Signature of Secretary-Treasurer
Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment for the Municipality of the CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE.

THE  
COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT  
OF THE  
TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE

and

IN THE MATTER of an application by Gordon A. Turner, 46 Westdale Avenue, Orangeville, Ontario, for a Minor Variance to By-law 9-66 and amendments thereto, section 5.6 subsection (J) of the Town of Orangeville for Lot 23, Plan 97, of the Town of Orangeville, under the provisions of Section 42 of the Planning Act R.S.O. and amendments thereto.

---

APPOINTMENT FOR HEARING

---

THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT of the Town of Orangeville hereby appoints the 11th day of October, 1979, at the hour of 7.30 o'clock in the afternoon (local time) at the Council Chambers, Municipal Building, 87 Broadway, Orangeville, Ontario, for the hearing of all parties interested in supporting or opposing this application.

*Ann E. Armstrong*  
Ann E. Armstrong,  
Secretary-Treasurer,  
Committee of Adjustment.

Explanatory note:

The applicant plans to build a garage 3.506 meters (11½ feet) x 7.317 meters (24 feet) on to his home. The proposed garage would extend to within approximately 0.3048 meters (1 foot) of the lot line.