

Committee of Adjustment / Land Division Committee
DECISION OF COMMITTEE WITH REASONS

[The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349, section 42 (9) and (11)]

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR

(a) Indicate which decision is applicable by checking the appropriate box.

- CONSENT - In making its decision upon this application for consent the Committee in addition to other matters has had regard to the matters that are to be had regard to under subsection 4 of section 33 of The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349 and considered whether a plan of subdivision under section 33 of the land described in the application is necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality.
MINOR VARIANCE - In making its decision upon this application for a variance the Committee in addition to other matters has considered whether or not the variance was minor and desirable for the appropriate development for use of the land and that the general intent and purpose of the zoning by-law and the official plan be maintained.
NON-CONFORMING USE - In making its decision the Committee in addition to other matters has had regard to whether or not this application met the requirements of section 42 (2) of The Planning Act.

(b) Description in respect of (b) of property

78 Zina Street Street Orangeville

(c) City, town, village, township.

which is located on Lot No. 10 Block 4 or Reg'd Plan No. 212

Town of Orangeville of Orangeville

(d) Delete where not applicable.

We the undersigned concur in the following decision and reasons for decision of the (d) Committee of

(e) Name of municipality.

Adjustment/Land Division Committee for the (e) Town of Orangeville

(f) Date of decision

made on the (f) 15th of April, 1980.

DECISION: Minor Variance with respect to the above mentioned property re side lot requirements. GRANTED.

This decision has been made subject to the following conditions.

(g) State conditions to be satisfied before actual granting of consent.

CONDITIONS (g): Which are understood and accepted by the applicant.

- 1) Overhang is not to exceed the property line.
2) Roof run-off must not interfere with neighbouring property.

(h) State reasons for decision.

REASONS FOR DECISION (h):

Committee felt that it would improve the appearance of the present building and would clear up the existing encroachment problem.

Signature of Member of committee.

Signature of member of committee.

Signature of member of committee.

Signature of member of committee.

Signature of member of committee.

Signature of member to committee.

CERTIFICATION

[The Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970, chapter 349, section 42 (11)]

I Ann E. Armstrong

(i) Delete where not applicable.

Secretary-Treasurer of the (i) Committee of Adjustment/Land Division Committee for the

CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE in the County of DUFFERIN

HEREBY certify that the above is a true copy of the decision of the Committee with respect to the application recorded therein.

Dated this 15th day of April 1980

Last date of appeal - May 7th, 1980.

Signature of Secretary-Treasurer

Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment for the Municipality of the Corporation of the Town of Orangeville.

THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT
OF THE
TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE

and

IN THE MATTER of an application by Donald and Diane Ellis,
78 Zina Street, Orangeville, Ontario, for a Minor Variance
to By-Law 9-66 and amendments thereto of the Town of Orangeville,
for Lot 10, Block 4, Plan 212, of the Town of Orangeville, under
the provisions of Section 42 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1970
and amendments thereto.

APPOINTMENT FOR HEARING

THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE hereby appoints
the 2nd. day of April, 1980, at the hour of 2.00 p.m. in the afternoon,
(local time) in the Board Room, Municipal Buildings, 87 Broadway,
Orangeville, Ontario, for the hearing of all parties interested in
supporting or opposing this application.

DATED AT ORANGEVILLE THIS 17TH DAY OF MARCH, 1980.

Ann E. Armstrong
Ann E. Armstrong,
Secretary-Treasurer,
Committee of Adjustment.

Explanatory Note:

The applicant wishes to replace an existing garage with an
addition, (Family Room, Bedroom, Basement). It appears that
the garage is 2 ft. on the adjoining property, but the proposed
addition would follow the property line of the original house
line.